

INSUFFICIENT APPLICATION INFORMATION



POLICY NUMBER: CR-010-02

COMMITTEE: Registration and Licensing

DATE APPROVED: June 23, 2026

DATE(S) REVIEWED (REVISED):

DATE TO BE REVIEWED: June 23, 2029

ISSUING AUTHORITY: NSRMIRT Board

DISTRIBUTION: Public

APPROVAL: *L. Benin*

POLICY

The Regulator fulfills its mandate by ensuring new applicants for registration meet set standards for entry to practice in Nova Scotia. In keeping with the Fair Registration Practices Act, the Regulator makes registration requirements publicly available and provides the opportunity for alternative evidence when original documentation is not available to an applicant. This policy outlines what additional documents may be considered.

RATIONALE

Exceptional circumstances, which may include but are not limited to war, natural disaster, or political persecution, may render it difficult or impossible for an applicant to obtain sufficient original documentation to support their application for registration. Applicants who can provide persuasive evidence that they have attempted and been unsuccessful in obtaining required documentation, may ask the Registration and Licensing Committee to consider alternative evidence to meet the requirement.

PROCEDURE

1. If documentation is unavailable from its original source, the application will be referred to the Registration and Licensing Committee for review. The applicant may be requested to provide:
 - a. Persuasive evidence regarding why they cannot obtain sufficient or adequate documentation from original sources to meet the registration requirements; and
 - b. Alternative documentation/evidence to meet the requirement(s).
2. Alternative evidence that may be considered by the Committee and will be adapted to the individual circumstances of the applicant includes, but is not limited to, an appropriate combination of the following:
 - Copies of documents from the applicant or other available resources;
 - Signed affidavits attesting to requirements completed;
 - Professional portfolio;
 - Documentary evidence from an instructor(s);
 - Education and fieldwork reference(s) and or academic referee(s);
 - Interviews, including by registrants with the same background as the applicant;
 - Peer assessment(s);
 - Prior learning or other skills/competency assessment(s).
3. This evidence should ideally be provided from the original source(s) directly to the Regulator, but all evidence the applicant is able to provide will be considered:



An applicant for registration may meet the requirement by:

1. Providing sufficient evidence to satisfy the Registration and Licensing Committee that:
 - a. Original documentation could not be obtained; and
 - b. Evidence that the applicant has met the requirement(s) that would otherwise be demonstrated by the original documentation which could not be obtained.

OUTCOME

1. If the Committee is satisfied that:
 - a. An applicant has made efforts and can provide persuasive evidence that original documentation cannot be provided, and
 - b. Alternative information provided supports that the applicant has met the requirement(s),

They may be deemed to have met one or more of the requirements.

2. If the Committee is satisfied that:
 - a. an applicant has made efforts and can provide persuasive evidence that original documentation cannot be provided; but is *not* satisfied that:
 - b. alternative information provided supports the applicant has met the requirement(s) they may be directed to:
 - Provide additional information; or
 - Undertake additional education; or
 - Undertake a supervised period of practice (for exemptible requirements); or
 - Provide, as directed by the Committee, other evidence to satisfy the Committee that they have met the requirement.
3. If the Committee is not satisfied:
 - a. That an applicant has made efforts and can provide persuasive evidence that original documentation cannot be provided, they may be requested to make additional efforts if they do not do so, they may be deemed to have not met one or more requirements.