

# **REGISTRATION APPEALS PROCESS**



POLICY NUMBER: CR-012-02

DATE APPROVED: October 28, 2025

DATE TO BE BEVIEWED: October 38, 202

DATE TO BE REVIEWED: October 28, 2028 DISTRIBUTION: External and Registrants

COMMITTEE: Credentials
DATE(S) REVIEWED (REVISED):

ISSUING AUTHORITY: NSCMIRTP Board

APPROVAL: Man

#### **POLICY**

The Regulator aims to be transparent, objective, impartial and procedurally fair with its registration practices. As such, applicants may request a review of their registration decision.

## **RATIONALE**

To be procedurally fair while protecting the public interest, the Regulator follows an established process for review of registration decisions that is consistent with the Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy Professionals Act and the Fair Registration Practices Act. A collaborative registration review may be used according to the Regulated Health Professions Network Act if the Regulator and the applicant both agree to use this process.

#### **PROCEDURE**

- Where the Credentials Committee refuses an application for registration or refuses an application for a license (other than a temporary license), the applicant may appeal the decision by forwarding a written request, along with the applicable fee as set out in Appendix A: Fee Schedule, to the Registrar within 30 days of receipt of the decision. The applicant's request must state the reasons for the appeal.
- 2. Upon receipt of an appeal, the Board shall appoint a Registration Appeal Committee, the membership of which consists of one public representative, one registrant from the same discipline as the appellant, and one other registrant. The Board shall appoint one of the registrants of the Registration Appeal Committee as the chair of the Committee. A quorum of the Registration Appeal Committee consists of two persons, at least one of whom must be a registrant from the same discipline as the appellant.
- 3. The Registration Appeal Committee shall



- (a) set a date for a hearing of the appeal not later than 60 days following receipt of the written notice of appeal;
- (b) serve written notice of the date, time and place for the hearing of the appeal upon the appellant and the Registrar; and
- (c) advise the appellant of the right to
  - i. be represented by legal counsel, a union representative or another representative at the expense of the appellant,
  - ii. disclosure of any information provided to the Registration Appeal Committee, and
  - iii. a reasonable opportunity to present a response and make submissions.
- 4. Appellants are required to make the submission of information or a response to the disclosure documents no later than 14 days prior to date of hearing.
- 5. The parties to an appeal before the Registration Appeal Committee are the Regulator and the appellant. The Regulator may be represented by legal counsel.
- 6. The appellant and the Regulator may submit additional documentation to the Registration Appeal Committee.
- 7. The Registration Appeal Committee may proceed by way of a review of the written record, without the necessity of an oral hearing.
- 8. The Registration Appeal Committee, in accordance with the evidence it receives when hearing an appeal, may make any determination that, in its opinion, ought to have been made by the Registrar or the Credentials Committee.
- 9. The Registration Appeal Committee must waive criteria for registration or licensing if
  - (a) the criteria conflict with the requirements of the Canada Free Trade Agreement; or
  - (b) it is required by law.
- 10. The Registration Appeal Committee may waive criteria for registration or licensing if it considers doing so consistent with the objectives and purpose of the Regulator.
- 11. The Registration Appeal Committee shall give its decision in writing and send a copy of the written decision to the appellant and the Registrar.



- 12. The decision of the Registration Appeal Committee is final.
- 13. If an appeal is successful, the appeal fee will be refunded to the applicant.

## Outcome:

The Registration Appeal Committee may make any determination that, in its opinion, ought to have been made by the Registrar or the Credentials Committee.